

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/643,782	SCHONEBECK, HORST	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sam Chuan C. Yao	1733	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Sam Chuan C. Yao.

(3) _____.

 (2) Ms Kerrie Laba.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 August 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

GB 1,335,098

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms Laba asserted that ~~no~~ there is no suggestion in GB '098 to form an air-permeable vehicle interior liner and cited page 2 lines 53-57 of the GB '096 for the type of articles made in a process of GB '096. Examiner proposed amending pending claims (see examiner's amendment for details) to place the application in condition for allowance. Ms Laba agreed..